arXiv:1608.02181v2 [math.DG] 28 Aug 2016

GREATEST LOWER BOUNDS ON RICCI CURVATURE OF HOMOGENEOUS TORIC BUNDLES

YI YAO

Abstract. For Fano homogeneous toric bundles, we derive a formula of the greatest lower bound on Ricci curvature. We also give a criteria for the ampleness of a kind of line bundles over general homogeneous toric bundles.

1. Introduction

The existence of canonical metrics on Kähler manifolds has been a central problem in Kähler geometry. For Fano toric manifolds, Wang and Zhu [WZ] showed that there always exists Kähler-Ricci soliton. This implies that it admits Kähler-Einstein metric if and only if the Futaki invariant vanishes. This is also equivalent to that the barycenter of the associated polytope coincides with the origin.

A natural generalization of toric manifolds is the homogeneous toric bundles. Given a generalized flag manifold $G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ and a toric manifold F with an action by complex torus $T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$, we can construct a fiber bundle via a homomorphism $\tau : P \to T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$M \triangleq G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{P,\tau} F \xrightarrow{\pi} G^{\mathbb{C}}/P.$$

In [PS-1, PS-2] Podestà and Spiro determined when M will be Fano, and in that case showed the existence of Kähler-Ricci solitons.

To find Kähler-Einstein metrics on a general Fano manifold X, we usually consider the following equation depending on parameter $t \in [0, 1]$, i.e. continuation method along Aubin's path,

$$Ric(\omega_{\varphi_t}) = t\omega_{\varphi_t} + (1-t)\omega_0. \tag{1.1}$$

It is solvable when t is close to zero and solutions of the case $t = 1$ give K-E metrics.

When the K-E metrics does not exist, consider

$$\sup\{T > 0 \mid (1.1) \text{ is solvable for } t \in [0, T]\}.$$

In [Sz], Székelyhidi showed that this supremum is independent on ω_0 and equals to the greatest lower bounds on Ricci curvature

$$R(X) = \sup\{t \geq 0 \mid \exists \omega \in c_1(X) \text{ s.t. } Ric(\omega) > t\omega\}.$$

For toric manifolds, Li [Li-1] obtained an explicit formula for $R(X)$ in terms of the associated polytope. In addition, for the bi-equivariant Fano compactifications of complex reductive groups, including toric manifolds, Delcroix [De] obtained a similar formula.

One aim of this paper is to derive a similar formula for Fano homogeneous toric bundles. Comparing to the toric case, it turns out the main difference is that the real-reduced equation of (1.1) has an additional term. But since this term is

Supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation.

uniformly bounded, we can obtain the key estimates by following the way of [WZ] without essential modifications. With these estimates at hand, the rest of the proof is similar to [Li-1].

Now we state the result, see Section 2 for the notations. Let

$$\triangle_F = \{y \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \langle p_i, y\rangle + 1 \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, N\} \tag{1.2}$$

be the polytope associated to Fano toric manifold F. Using the dual of $d\tau|_{Z(\mathfrak{k})} : Z(\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathfrak{t}$, we denote

$$\triangle_M = (d\tau)^* \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\triangle_F\right) + I_V^\vee \subset Z(\mathfrak{k})^*,$$

where I_V is defined by (2.3). With respect to the $-\mathcal{B}$-orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{h} = Z(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus Z(\mathfrak{k})^\perp$. Let $(iH_\alpha)_Z$ be the $Z(\mathfrak{k})$-component of iH_α.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a Fano homogeneous toric bundle given by data $(G^{\mathbb{C}}, P, F, \tau)$. Let*

$$P \triangleq \frac{\int_{\triangle_M} \vec{x} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}^+} (iH_\alpha)_Z \, d\mu}{\int_{\triangle_M} \prod_{\alpha \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}^+} (iH_\alpha)_Z \, d\mu} \in \triangle_M$$

where $(iH_\alpha)_Z$ are treated as linear functions on $Z(\mathfrak{k})^*$, $d\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on the affine subspace spanned by $\triangle_M$. Then

$$R(M) = \sup\{0 \leq t < 1 \mid \frac{-t}{1-t}P + \frac{1}{1-t}I_V^\vee \in \triangle_M\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Another aim is to determine the ample line bundles over M. In [PS-1] they gave a criteria for anti-canonical bundle. We only consider line bundles in the following form

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{P,\tau} L_F\right) \otimes \pi^* L_\chi,$$

where L_F is a line bundle over F with a lifted torus action, L_χ is a line bundle over $G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ given by a character $\chi : P \to \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\triangle_{L_F}$ be the weight polytope associated to L_F, namely the convex hull of the weights of action on fibers over the fixed points.

Theorem 2. *The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is ample if and only if L_F is ample and*

$$(d\tau)^* \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\triangle_{L_F}\right) + \frac{i}{2\pi} d\chi|_{Z(\mathfrak{k})} \subset \mathcal{C}^\vee \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^\vee \subset Z(\mathfrak{k})^*$ is the $-\mathcal{B}$-dual cone of the Weyl chamber $\mathcal{C}$.

The main difficult to prove this is how to compute the curvature of $\mathcal{L}$, we use a Koszul type formula (3.5).

2. Toric Bundles over Flag Manifolds

In this section we follow [PS-1]. The only new observation is Proposition 3.

2.1. **Generalized flag manifolds.** Let G be a compact semi-simple Lie group, S be a subtorus. Take T is a maximal torus containing S. Let $K = C_G(S)$, then $K \supset T$. Denote $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h}$ the Lie algebras of G, K, T. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to the Killing form $\mathcal{B}$, which is a symmetric and negative definite bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ and $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$.

Denote $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of G. Since $T^{\mathbb{C}}$ is also a maximal torus of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, we have the root decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha,$$

where $R \subset i\mathfrak{h}^*$ is the root system and $\{E_\alpha\}$ are root vectors such that $H_\alpha = [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}]$ is the $\mathcal{B}$-dual of α. Let

$$F_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha}),\ G_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}),$$

then $\{F_\alpha, G_\alpha\}$ $\mathbb{R}$-spans $(\mathbb{C}E_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{C}E_{-\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ and $[F_\alpha, G_\alpha] = iH_\alpha$.

K corresponds a partition of roots $R = R_{\mathfrak{k}} \sqcup R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_{\mathfrak{k}}} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha,\ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \sum_{\alpha \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha.$$

Denote $Z(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ the center of $\mathfrak{k}$. Its orthogonal complement $Z(\mathfrak{k})^\perp$ in $\mathfrak{h}$ is spanned by $\{iH_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in R_{\mathfrak{k}}}$.

From these data we have a generalized flag manifold $V = G/K$. There is a 1-to-1 correspondence between G-invariant complex structures J_V and the partitions $R_{\mathfrak{m}} = R^+_{\mathfrak{m}} \sqcup R^-_{\mathfrak{m}}$ satisfying

(1) $R^+_{\mathfrak{m}} = -R^-_{\mathfrak{m}}$,
(2) If $\alpha \in R_{\mathfrak{k}} \sqcup R^+_{\mathfrak{m}}$, $\beta \in R^+_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\alpha + \beta \in R$, then $\alpha + \beta \in R^+_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Identify $T_{eK}V$ with $\mathfrak{m}$, then the decomposition of $T_{eK}V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ induced by J_V is

$$\mathfrak{m}^{(1,0)} = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha,\ \mathfrak{m}^{(0,1)} = \sum_{\alpha \in R^-_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha. \tag{2.1}$$

It also gives a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{m}^{(0,1)}$. Then we have a complex model $V = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$. Now V is a complex manifold with a holomorphic $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-action.

Let ω be a G-invariant Kähler metric on V, there exists a unique $I_\omega \in Z(\mathfrak{k})$ such that

$$\omega(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})|_{eK} = \mathcal{B}(I_\omega, [X, Y]) \tag{2.2}$$

for all X, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $\hat{X}$ is the induced vector field by G-action. In order that ω is positive, we need I_ω belongs to Weyl chamber

$$\mathcal{C} = \{I \in Z(\mathfrak{k}) \mid i\alpha(I) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in R^+_{\mathfrak{m}}\}.$$

If we take

$$I_\omega = I_V \triangleq -\frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+_{\mathfrak{m}}} H_\alpha, \tag{2.3}$$

then ω is the G-invariant Kähler-Einstein metric.

2.2. **Toric bundles.** Let F be a toric manifold with an action by complex torus $T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$. Denote T^m the real torus and $\mathfrak{t}$ its Lie algebra.

Let $\tau : P \to T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a surjective homomorphism which takes K into T^m. Since $d\tau([X,Y]) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}$, we see that $d\tau|_{Z(\mathfrak{k})} : Z(\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathfrak{t}$ is surjective. Then choose a $-\mathcal{B}$-orthonormal basis $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ for $\left(\ker d\tau|_{Z(\mathfrak{k})}\right)^{\perp}$.

The toric bundles have the following two models,

$$M \triangleq G \times_{K,\tau} F \cong G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{P,\tau} F.$$

Where $G \times_{K,\tau} F = G \times F / \{(g,x) \sim (gk^{-1}, \tau(k).x) \mid k \in K\}$.

Denote π the natural projection $M \to V$ and $F_o \subset M$ the fiber over eK, which can be identified with F via $x \mapsto [e,x]$ for $x \in F$. By the second model M is a complex manifold. Note that $TM|_{F_o} = TF_o \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$, then the complex structure J is the direct sum of the ones on TF and $\mathfrak{m}$. Namely, $J\hat{A} = \widehat{J_V A}$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Moreover, M has a holomorphic $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$-action defined by

$$(h,z).[g,x] = [hg, z.x]$$

where $g, h \in G^{\mathbb{C}}$, $z \in T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $x \in F$. In the following we identify subgroups $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times \{e\}$, $\{e\} \times T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, $T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Note that F_o is stabilized by P and $T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$. Actually, these two actions on F_o are equivalent with each other through τ. Since

$$(p,e).[e,x] = [p,x] = [e, \tau(p).x] = (e,\tau(p)).[e,x], \tag{2.4}$$

for all $p \in P$, $x \in F$.

2.3. **Algebraic representations.** Algebraic representation is a way to describe the invariant forms on G-manifolds.

Let ϕ be a G-invariant closed two-form on M. According to [PS-1], there exists a map $Z_\phi : M \to \mathfrak{g}$, called the algebraic representation of ϕ, such that

(1) $Z_\phi(g.x) = \mathrm{Ad}(g).Z_\phi(x)$ for all $g \in G$, $x \in M$.

(2) For all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\phi(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}) = \mathcal{B}(Z_\phi, [X,Y]). \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, if ϕ is non-degenerate, i.e. a symplectic form, then $Z_\phi^\vee = -\mathcal{B}(Z_\phi, \cdot)$ is the moment map for the G-action.

The following Proposition tells us when ϕ is also invariant under T^m-action.

Proposition 3. *Let ϕ be a G-invariant and J-invariant closed two-form on M, then ϕ is invariant under T^m-action if and only if $Z_\phi|_{F_o}$ takes value in $Z(\mathfrak{k})$.*

Proof. Suppose that ϕ is T^m-invariant. Since G-action commutes with T^m-action, we have $t_*\hat{X} = \hat{X}$ for all $t \in T^m$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then by the property of Z_ϕ,

$$\mathcal{B}(Z_\phi(x), [X,Y]) = \phi|_x(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}) = \phi|_{t.x}(t_*\hat{X}, t_*\hat{Y}) = \mathcal{B}(Z_\phi(t.x), [X,Y])$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $t \in T^m$ and $x \in M$. Since $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$, it follows that $Z_\phi(x) = Z_\phi(t.x)$.

In the following we assume $x \in F_o$. Take $k \in K$ such that $\tau(k) = t$. By the equivalence of actions on F_o (2.4),

$$Z_\phi(t.x) = Z_\phi(k.x) = \mathrm{Ad}(k).Z_\phi(x).$$

Thus $\mathrm{Ad}(k).Z_\phi(x) = Z_\phi(x)$ for all $k \in K$. It turns out $[\mathfrak{k}, Z_\phi(x)] = 0$. From the fact $[H, E_\alpha] = \alpha(H)E_\alpha$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}$, we can deduce that $Z_\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{k}$. Thus $Z_\phi(x) \in Z(\mathfrak{k})$ as desired.

Conversely, tracing back the above arguments, we know that

$$\phi|_x(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}) = \phi|_{t.x}(t_*\hat{X}, t_*\hat{Y}) \tag{2.6}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $t \in T^m$ and $x \in F_o$. On the other hand, since $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$ we have

$$\phi|_{F_o}(\hat{Z}_j, \hat{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathcal{B}(Z_\phi|_{F_o}, [Z_j, \mathfrak{m}]) = 0.$$

Moreover, since $J\hat{\mathfrak{m}} = \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ on F_o and ϕ is J-invariant, we also have

$$\phi|_{F_o}(J\hat{Z}_j, \hat{\mathfrak{m}}) = -\phi|_{F_o}(\hat{Z}_j, J\hat{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\phi|_{F_o}(TF_o, \hat{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

since that TF_o is spanned by $\{\hat{Z}_j, J\hat{Z}_j\}_{j=1}^m$. Then together with (2.6), it implies that $t^*(\phi|_{t.x}) = \phi|_x$ for all $t \in T^m$, $x \in F_o$. By using the G-action, we know this holds for all $x \in M$. □

3. Ampleness of Line Bundles

In this section we discuss the ampleness of line bundles over M.

Let L_F be an ample line bundle over F with a lifted torus action. Take a T^m-invariant metric h_F on L_F, its curvature is denoted by $0 < \omega_F \in c_1(L_F)$. Then h_F together with the lifted action induce a moment map. In fact, let $U \cong T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the open orbit and $s(z)$ be an equivariant section on U. Let $\varphi = -\log|s|^2_{h_F}$ which is T^m-invariant. The basis $\{d\tau(Z_k)\}_{k=1}^m$ of $\mathfrak{t}$ gives logarithmic coordinates $\{x_k + i\theta_k\}$ on U. Then the moment map is given by

$$\mu = \sum_k \mu_k d\tau(Z_k)^* : F \to \mathfrak{t}^*,\ \mu_k = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_k} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{d\tau(Z_k)^*\}$ is the dual basis. Denote $\frac{1}{2\pi}\triangle_{L_F}$ the image of μ, which does not depend on h_F. Actually, $\triangle_{L_F}$ is the convex hull of the weights of action on fibers over the fixed points.

Let $\chi : P \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character. It gives a line bundle

$$L_\chi \triangleq G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{P,\chi} \mathbb{C} \cong G \times_{K,\chi} \mathbb{C}$$

over V. Let h_χ be a G-invariant metric on L_χ defined by

$$|[g, \lambda]|^2_{h_\chi} = |\lambda|^2$$

where $g \in G$. Denote ω_χ the induced curvature form. Let $I_\chi \in Z(\mathfrak{k})$ such that

$$I_\chi^\vee = -\mathcal{B}(I_\chi, \cdot) = \frac{i}{2\pi}d\chi|_{Z(\mathfrak{k})},$$

then we can check that $\omega_\chi(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})|_{eK} = \mathcal{B}(I_\chi, [X, Y]))$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Consider the following holomorphic line bundle over M,

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{P,\tau} L_F\right) \otimes \pi^* L_\chi. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that its isomorphism class depends on the lifted torus action on L_F.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{P,\tau,\chi} L_F$, where P acts on L_F by

$$p.s = \chi(p)\left(\tau(p).s\right).$$

Use this model we define a $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$-action on $\mathcal{L}$ by $(h,z).[g,s] = [hg, z.s]$, where $g, h \in G^{\mathbb{C}}$, $s \in L_F$.

Now let us first consider $\mathcal{L}_1 \triangleq G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{P,\tau} L_F \cong G \times_{K,\tau} L_F$. There is a natural metric h_1 on it defined by

$$|[g,s]|^2_{h_1} = |s|^2_{h_F}. \tag{3.3}$$

There is also a $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$-action on $\mathcal{L}_1$ and this metric is invariant under $G \times T^m$-action. Let ω_1 be the induced curvature form. Since the invariance, we only consider its restriction on $TM|_{F_o}$. By (2.7) we have

$$\omega_1|_{TF_o} = \omega_F,\ \omega_1(TF_o, \hat{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

In order to compute $\omega_1|_{\hat{\mathfrak{m}}}$ we need an open dense subset with a product structure. Denote N the kernel of $\tau : K \to T^m$. Recall that $U \subset F$ is the open orbit.

Lemma 4. The open dense subset $G \times_{K,\tau} U \subset M$ is diffeomorphism to $G/N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+$. And on this open set $\mathcal{L}_1$ can be identified with $G/N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+ \times \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We denote $r_k = \exp x_k$ the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^m_+$. Define $\phi : G/N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to G \times_{K,\tau} U$ by

$$(gN, r) \mapsto [g, r].$$

Identify $L_F|_U$ with $U \times \mathbb{C}$ via $\lambda s(z) \mapsto (z, \lambda)$, then we define $\Phi : G/N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+ \times \mathbb{C} \to G \times_{K,\tau} (L_F|_U)$ by

$$(gN, r, \lambda) \mapsto [g, (r, \lambda)].$$

Then ϕ, Φ give the desired identifications.

We only verify that Φ is surjective. For any $z \in T^m_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $z = rt$ where $r \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$, $t \in T^m$. Take $k \in K$ such that $\tau(k) = t$. Since

$$[g, (z, \lambda)] = [g, \tau(k).(r, \lambda)] = [gk, (r, \lambda)],$$

thus $\Phi(gkN, r, \lambda) = [g, (z, \lambda)]$. Note that gkN dose not depend on the choice of k. □

Under this identification, the $G \times T^m$-action on $\mathcal{L}_1$ becomes $(h,t).(gN, r, \lambda) = (hgkN, r, \lambda)$, where $k \in K$ is any element such that $\tau(k) = t$. And the metric (3.3) becomes

$$|(gN, r, \lambda)|^2_{h_1} = |\lambda|^2 e^{-\varphi(r)}.$$

The following result can be seen as a Koszul type formula. See [Be] (2.134) for the origin one.

Proposition 5. *Let $(L, h) \to M$ be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle with curvature $\omega \in c_1(L)$. Suppose that there is a compact Lie group G acts holomorphicly on $L \to M$ and preserves h. For $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, denote $\hat{A}$ the induced vector field on M and $\bar{A}$ the induced vector field on L. The complex structure on L and M both are denoted by J. Let H be a function on L defined by $H(s) = |s|^2_h$. Then for any $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$, $x \in M$ we have*

$$\omega(\hat{A}, \hat{B})|_x = \frac{1}{4\pi} J[\bar{A}, \bar{B}].\log H, \tag{3.5}$$

where the derivative is taken at any $\bar{x} \in L_x \backslash \{0\}$.

Proof. Take an open subset U including x and a local frame s of L on it. Identify $L|_U$ with $U \times \mathbb{C}$ by $\lambda s(p) \mapsto (p, \lambda)$. Let $\phi = -\log |s|_h^2$, then $2\pi\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$.

For $p \in U$ and $X \in T_pM$, the horizontal lifting of X w.r.t. Chern connection is

$$\tilde{X}|_{(p,\lambda)} = (X, \lambda\partial\phi(X)) \in T_pM \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$

For $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, the induced vector field $\bar{A}$ on L has the form

$$\bar{A}|_{(p,\lambda)} = (\hat{A}, \lambda\theta_A), \tag{3.6}$$

where θ_A is a complex-value function on U. Since the action is holomorphic, θ_A is holomorphic. Moreover, by the preservation of h we can deduce that

$$\mathrm{Re}\theta_A = \frac{1}{2}\hat{A}\phi. \tag{3.7}$$

It follows that

$$\bar{A} - \widetilde{(\hat{A})}|_{(p,\lambda)} \quad = \quad \left(0, \lambda(\theta_A - \partial\phi(\hat{A}))\right).$$

Denote $if_A = \theta_A - \partial\phi(\hat{A})$, since (3.7) $f_A = \mathrm{Im}\theta_A + \frac{1}{2}J\hat{A}\cdot\phi$ is real. We claim

$$df_A = 2\pi\iota_{\hat{A}}\omega. \tag{3.8}$$

Actually, by $\hat{A}$ is holomorphic and Cartan's formula,

$$\partial(\hat{A}\phi) = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{A}}\partial\phi = d\left(\partial\phi(\hat{A})\right) - \iota_{\hat{A}}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

And (3.7) implies $\theta_A - \hat{A}\phi = -\bar{\theta}_A$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} idf_A &= \partial\theta_A - d\left(\partial\phi(\hat{A})\right) \\ &= \partial(\theta_A - \hat{A}\phi) - \iota_{\hat{A}}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi = -\iota_{\hat{A}}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Now for A, $B \in \mathfrak{g}$, by (3.6) we have

$$[\bar{A}, \bar{B}]|_{(p,\lambda)} = \left([\hat{A}, \hat{B}], \lambda(\hat{A}\theta_B - \hat{B}\theta_A)\right).$$

Since $\log H(p, \lambda) = \log|\lambda|^2 - \phi(p)$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}J[\bar{A}, \bar{B}].\log H = -\hat{A}.\mathrm{Im}\theta_B + \hat{B}.\mathrm{Im}\theta_A - \frac{1}{2}J[\hat{A}, \hat{B}].\phi.$$

The last term

$$J[\hat{A}, \hat{B}].\phi = [J\hat{A}, \hat{B}].\phi = J\hat{A}(\hat{B}.\phi) - \hat{B}(J\hat{A}.\phi).$$

On the other hand, by (3.8),

$$2\pi\omega(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) = \hat{B}.f_A = \hat{B}.\mathrm{Im}\theta_A + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}(J\hat{A}.\phi).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}J[\bar{A}, \bar{B}].\log H &= -\hat{A}.\mathrm{Im}\theta_B - \frac{1}{2}J\hat{A}(\hat{B}.\phi) + 2\pi\omega(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \\ &= -2\mathrm{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}\theta_B(\hat{A})\right) + 2\pi\omega(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \\ &= 2\pi\omega(\hat{A}, \hat{B}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\hat{B}\cdot\phi = 2\mathrm{Re}\theta_B$. □

Now we use (3.5) to compute the other component of ω_1. Denote Ω the curvature of the metric $h_1 \otimes \pi^* h_\chi$ on $\mathcal{L}$. Obviously, $\Omega = \omega_1 + \pi^*\omega_\chi$.

Theorem 6. *For A, $B \in \mathfrak{m}$ we have*

$$\omega_1(\hat{A}, \hat{B})|_{F_o} = \mathcal{B}\left(\sum_i \mu_i Z_i, [A, B]\right), \tag{3.9}$$

where the $\{\mu_i\}$ are given by (3.1). And the algebraic representation of Ω restricted on F_o is equal to $\sum_i \mu_i Z_i + I_\chi$.

Proof. Restrict on the open set $G \times_{K,\tau} U$, under the identification in Lemma 4, the induced vector field on $\mathcal{L}_1 = G/N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+ \times \mathbb{C}$ is

$$\bar{A}|_{(gN,r,\lambda)} = g_*\left(\overline{\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})A}|_{(eN,r,\lambda)}\right) = g_*(\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})A, 0, 0).$$

Where $\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}A$ is considered that belongs to $T_{eN}G/N \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \sum_i \mathbb{R} \cdot Z_i$. Thus

$$\mathcal{J}[\bar{A}, \bar{B}]|_{(gN,r,\lambda)} = -\mathcal{J}\overline{[A, B]}|_{(gN,r,\lambda)} = -g_*\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})[A, B], 0, 0),$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is the complex structure on $\mathcal{L}_1$.

Note that on

$$T\mathcal{L}_1|_{(eN,r,\lambda)} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \sum_i \mathbb{R} \cdot Z_i \oplus \sum_i \mathbb{R} \cdot r_i \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} \oplus \mathbb{C},$$

the complex structure satisfies $\mathcal{J}Z_i = r_i \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. And

$$\log H(gN, r, \lambda) = \log|\lambda|^2 - \varphi(r).$$

It turns out that to compute $\mathcal{J}[\bar{A}, \bar{B}] \log H$ we only need the Z_i-components of $\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}[A, B]$.

Next we further restrict on $(G \times_{K,\tau} U) \cap F_o = K/N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+$, so $g \in K$.

For $k \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}(k)^{-1}[A, B] &= -\sum_i \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{Ad}(k)^{-1}[A, B], Z_i)Z_i + C \\ &= -\sum_i \mathcal{B}([A, B], Z_i)Z_i + C \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$, where $C \in \mathfrak{m}$ and we used $Z_i \in Z(\mathfrak{k})$. Thus

$$\mathcal{J}[\bar{A}, \bar{B}]|_{(gN,r,\lambda)} = \left(-g_* J_V C, \sum_i \mathcal{B}([A, B], Z_i)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, 0\right).$$

Then by (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\mathcal{J}[\bar{A}, \bar{B}].\log H = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_i \mathcal{B}([A, B], Z_i)\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}, \\ &= \mathcal{B}\left(\sum_i \mu_i Z_i, [A, B]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combine this with (3.4), it is easy to check that

$$(\omega_1 + \pi^*\omega_\chi)(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}) = \mathcal{B}\left(\sum_i \mu_i Z_i + I_\chi, [X, Y]\right)$$

on F_o, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Thus $Z_\Omega|_{F_o} = \sum_i \mu_i Z_i + I_\chi$ as desired. □

Once we have the algebraic representation of Ω, the proof of Theorem 2 will be same as [PS-1].

Proof of Theorem 2. "Sufficiency" Take a metric h_F on L_F with positive curvature. It gives a metric $h_1 \otimes \pi^* h_\chi$ on $\mathcal{L}$. We show that its curvature form Ω is positive. By the G-invariance, we only check this on F_o. Since $TM|_{F_o} = TF_o \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$, (3.4) and the facts $[E_\alpha, E_\beta] \in \mathbb{C} \cdot E_{\alpha+\beta}$, it turns out that we only need to check $\Omega(\hat{F}_\alpha, J\hat{F}_\alpha) > 0$ for all $\alpha \in R^+_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

In fact, by Theorem 6 and $[F_\alpha, G_\alpha] = \sqrt{-1} H_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\hat{F}_\alpha, J\hat{F}_\alpha) &= \Omega(\hat{F}_\alpha, \hat{G}_\alpha) = \mathcal{B}(\sum \mu_i Z_i + I_\chi, [F_\alpha, G_\alpha]) \\ &= \sqrt{-1}\alpha(\sum \mu_i Z_i + I_\chi). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Then by (1.4) and $(d\tau)^* \circ \mu = \sum_i \mu_i Z_i^\vee$, we have $\Omega(\hat{F}_\alpha, J\hat{F}_\alpha) > 0$.

"Necessity" Since $\mathcal{L}|_{F_o} \cong L_F$, thus L_F is ample. Then in the same way as above, we have a curvature form $\Omega \in c_1(\mathcal{L})$. Since we assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is ample, there exists a metric on $\mathcal{L}$ with positive curvature. We can assume that this metric is G-invariant. Thus there is a G-invariant function ϕ such that $\Omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi > 0$. By Lemma 3.1(b) in [PS-1], restrict on F_o, the algebraic representation of $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ is $-\sum_i \phi_{x_i} Z_i$. Hence the algebraic representation of $\Omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ is $\sum_i (\mu_i - \phi_{x_i}) Z_i + I_\chi$. Then by (3.10) the positivity of $\Omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ implies

$$\sqrt{-1}\alpha(\sum_i (\mu_i - \phi_{x_i}) Z_i + I_\chi) > 0$$

on F_o, for all $\alpha \in R^+_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since the additional ϕ_{x_i}-terms dose not change the image of moment map μ, it implies (1.4). □

4. Proof of the Theorem 1

Now we assume that M is Fano. From the short exact sequence

$$0 \to T_v M \to TM \xrightarrow{d\pi} \pi^* TV \to 0,$$

we have

$$K_M^{-1} = \left(G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{P,\tau} K_F^{-1}\right) \otimes \pi^* K_V^{-1}, \tag{4.1}$$

where the torus acts on K_F^{-1} in the canonical way. In particular, we have $K_M^{-1}|_{F_o} = K_{F_o}^{-1}$. Thus F is also Fano.

Let $\triangle_F$ be the polytope associated to F, it has the form (1.2). Take h_F^0 be the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric via the map given by global sections of K_F^{-1}. Then it induces a moment map $\mu_0 = \sum_i \mu_{0i} d\tau(Z_i)^*$ with image $\frac{1}{2\pi}\triangle_{L_F}$, where $\mu_{0i} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i}$ and

$$u_0 = \log \sum_{\lambda \in \triangle_F \cap \Lambda} e^{\langle \cdot, \lambda \rangle}, \tag{4.2}$$

$\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ is the weight lattice.

Follow the way in the last section, h_F^0 induces a $G\times T^m$-invariant Kähler metric ω_0 in $c_1(M)$ with algebraic representation

$$Z_{\omega_0}=\sum_i \mu_{0i}Z_i+I_V.$$

Now consider the equation

$$Ric(\omega_{\varphi_t})=t\omega_{\varphi_t}+(1-t)\omega_0 \tag{4.3}$$

where $\omega_{\varphi_t}=\omega_0+\frac{i}{2\pi}\partial\bar\partial\varphi_t$. It is solvable for $t\in[0,R(M))$.

It follows from the uniqueness of the twisted Kähler-Einstein metrics that $\{\varphi_t\}$ are $G\times T^m$-invariant. Moreover, ω_{φ_t} can be seen as the induced Kähler metric by $e^{-\varphi_t|_{F_o}}\cdot h_F^0$. Thus

$$Z_{\omega_{\varphi_t}}=\sum_i \mu_i^t Z_i+I_V,\ \mu_i^t=\mu_{0i}-\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\varphi_t}{\partial x_i}.$$

By [PS-1] Proposition 3.2,

$$Z_{Ric(\omega_{\varphi_t})}=\sum_i \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\log D}{\partial x_i}Z_i+I_V,\ D=\det\left(-\frac{\partial\mu_i^t}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i,j}\cdot\prod_{\alpha\in R_{\mathfrak{m}}^+}\sqrt{-1}\alpha(Z_{\omega_{\varphi_t}}).$$

Combine these equalities with (4.3), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\log D}{\partial x_i}=\mu_{0i}-\frac{t}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\varphi_t}{\partial x_i}.$$

Thus $\log D+u_0+t\varphi_t=C_t$ for some constant C_t. Adjust φ_t by adding some constant, we have

$$\prod_{\alpha\in R_{\mathfrak{m}}^+}\sqrt{-1}\alpha(Z_{\omega_{\varphi_t}})\cdot\det(u_{t,ij})=e^{-u_0-t\varphi_t},$$

where $u_t=u_0+\varphi_t$. Denote $\Gamma(x,t)=\prod_{\alpha\in R_{\mathfrak{m}}^+}\sqrt{-1}\alpha(Z_{\omega_{\varphi_t}})$ then

$$\Gamma(x,t)\cdot\det(u_{t,ij})=e^{-(1-t)u_0-tu_t}.$$

Since K_M^{-1} is ample, by Theorem 2, (4.1) and (3.10), we see that $Z_{\omega_{\varphi_t}}(F_o)\subset\mathcal{C}$. Since the image $Z_{\omega_{\varphi_t}}(F_o)$ dose not depend on t, there exists constant $c,C>0$ such that

$$c\le\Gamma(x,t)\le C$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$ and $t<R(M)$.

With this property, follow [WZ] we can obtain the following key estimates without essential modifications.

Denote $w_t=(1-t)u_0+tu_t$, $m_t=\min\{w_t(x)\mid x\in\mathbb{R}^m\}$, and suppose that the minimum is attained at x_t.

Proposition 7. [WZ] *There exists a time-independent constant a,C and C', such that*

$$|m_t|<C,\ w_t(x)\ge a\,|x-x_t|-C'$$

for all $t<R(M)$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$.

Make a change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \nabla u_t \cdot e^{-w_t} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \nabla u_t \cdot \Gamma \cdot \det(u_{t,ij}) dx \\ &= \int_{\triangle} y \cdot \rho_{DH}(y) dy.\end{aligned}$$

Where we denote $\triangle$ the image $\nabla u_t(\mathbb{R}^m)$ which dose not depend on t, and

$$\rho_{DH}(y) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}^+} \sqrt{-1}\alpha \left(\sum_i -\frac{y_i}{4\pi} Z_i + I_V \right).$$

By the divergence theorem, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \nabla w_t \cdot e^{-w_t} dx = 0$. Then it follows that

$$\frac{1}{|\triangle|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \nabla u_0 \cdot e^{-w_t} dx = \frac{-t}{1-t} P_{\triangle} \triangleq \frac{-t}{1-t} \frac{1}{|\triangle|} \int_{\triangle} y \cdot \rho_{DH}(y) dy, \tag{4.4}$$

where $|\triangle| = \int_{\triangle} \rho_{DH}(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-w_t} dx$.

Note that $0 \in \triangle$, we define

$$R_{\triangle} = \sup\{0 \le t < 1 \mid \frac{-t}{1-t} P_{\triangle} \in \triangle\}.$$

Since $\nabla u_0(x) \in \triangle$, it follows from (4.4) that $\frac{-t}{1-t} P_{\triangle} \in \triangle$ for $t < R(M)$. Thus $R(M) \le R_{\triangle}$.

To prove $R(M) = R_{\triangle}$, the following arguments are due to [Li-1].

If $R(M) = 1$ we are done. In the following we assume $R(M) < 1$.

It is shown in [WZ] that if $|x_t|$ is uniformly bounded for $t \in [0, t_0]$, then $(4.3)_{t_0}$ is solvable. Thus there exists a sequence $\{t_k\}$, $t_k \to R(M)^-$, such that $|x_{t_k}| \to \infty$. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume $\nabla u_0(x_{t_k}) \to \partial\triangle$. We take an affine function $l(y)$ such that $l(\triangle) \ge 0$ and $\lim_k l(\nabla u_0(x_{t_k})) = 0$.

Denote $\overline{dx} = \frac{1}{|\triangle|} dx$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, by Proposition 7, there exists $R_\epsilon > 0$ which is independent of k such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus B_{R_\epsilon}(x_{t_k})} l\left(\nabla u_0\right) \cdot e^{-w_{t_k}} \overline{dx} < C \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus B_{R_\epsilon}(x_{t_k})} e^{-a|x-x_t|} \overline{dx} < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, use the explicit formula of u_0 (4.2), it is shown in [Li-1] that there exists $C > 0$ which only depends on $\triangle$ such that

$$l\left(\nabla u_0(x)\right) \le e^{CR_\epsilon} l\left(\nabla u_0(x_{t_k})\right)$$

for all $x \in B_{R_\epsilon}(x_{t_k})$.

Then by (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} l(\frac{-t_k}{1-t_k} P_{\triangle}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} l\left(\nabla u_0\right) \cdot e^{-w_{t_k}} \overline{dx} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus B_{R_\epsilon}(x_{t_k})} l\left(\nabla u_0\right) \cdot e^{-w_{t_k}} \overline{dx} + \int_{B_{R_\epsilon}(x_{t_k})} l\left(\nabla u_0\right) \cdot e^{-w_{t_k}} \overline{dx} \\ &< \epsilon + e^{CR_\epsilon} \cdot l\left(\nabla u_0(x_{t_k})\right), \end{aligned}$$

then let $k > N$ such that $e^{CR_\epsilon} \cdot l\left(\nabla u_0(x_{t_k})\right) < \epsilon$.

Hence $l(\frac{-t_k}{1-t_k} P_{\triangle}) \to 0$, this implies $\frac{-t_k}{1-t_k} P_{\triangle} \to \partial\triangle$. Thus we have $R(M) = R_{\triangle}$ as desired.

Finally, it is easy to see that $R_{\triangle}$ is same to the right hand side of (1.3).

5. Example

Take $G = SU(2)$, $K = \{diag(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}) \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $G^{\mathbb{C}} = SL(2,\mathbb{C})$, $P = SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \cap$ {upper triangle matrices}. Let

$$H_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{bmatrix},\ E_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},\ E_{-\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k} = Z(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathbb{R} \cdot \sqrt{-1}H_\alpha$, where the root $\sqrt{-1}\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$. The Killing form such that $\mathcal{B}(H_\alpha, H_\alpha) = 2$. The root decomposition is

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot E_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot E_{-\alpha},$$

where $R = R_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{\pm\alpha\}$, $R^+_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{-\alpha\}$. Thus by (2.3), $I_V = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}H_\alpha$. $G/K = \mathbb{CP}^1$ and I_V gives the Fubini-Study metric.

Take $F = \mathbb{CP}^1$, the associated polytope $\triangle_F = [-1, 1] \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$. And $\tau : P \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be that $\tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} z & w \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{bmatrix}\right) = z$. The toric bundle is the $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with 1-point blowup.

Identify $\mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\mathbb{R}$ via the basis $\{\left(\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha\right)^\vee\}$. Then $I_V^\vee = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ and $(d\tau)^*\left(\frac{-1}{4\pi}\triangle_F\right) = [\frac{-1}{4\pi}, \frac{1}{4\pi}]$, so $\triangle_M = (d\tau)^*\left(\frac{-1}{4\pi}\triangle_F\right) + I_V^\vee = [\frac{1}{4\pi}, \frac{3}{4\pi}]$,

$$P = \frac{\int_{\triangle_M} x \cdot x\ dx}{\int_{\triangle_M} x\ dx} = \frac{13}{24\pi}.$$

Thus $R(M) = \sup\{0 \le t < 1 \mid \frac{-t}{1-t}P + \frac{1}{1-t}I_V^\vee \in \triangle_M\} = \frac{6}{7}$.

It coincides with the previous results in [Sz] and [Li-1].

References

[Ar] Arvanitogeórgos A. *An introduction to Lie groups and the geometry of homogeneous spaces*. American Mathematical Soc., 2003.

[Be] Besse A L. Einstein manifolds. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.

[De] Delcroix T. *Kähler-Einstein metrics on group compactifications*. arXiv preprint: 1510.07384, 2015.

[Do] Donaldson S. K. *Kähler geometry on toric manifolds, and some other manifolds with large symmetry*. arXiv preprint: 0803.0985, 2008.

[Li-1] Li C. *Greatest lower bounds on Ricci curvature for toric Fano manifolds*. Advances in mathematics, 226(6), 2011, 4921-4932.

[Li-2] ____, *On the limit behavior of metrics in the continuity method for the Kähler-Einstein problem on a toric Fano manifold*. Compos. Math, 148(06), 2012, 1985-2003.

[PS-1] Podestà F, Spiro A. *Kähler-Ricci solitons on homogeneous toric bundles*. J. Reine Angew. Math, 642, 2010, 109-127.

[PS-2] ____, *Kähler-Ricci solitons on homogeneous toric bundles (II)*. arXiv preprint: math/0604071, 2006.

[Sz] Székelyhidi G. *Greatest lower bounds on the Ricci curvature of Fano manifolds*. Compos. Math, 147(1), 2011, 319-331.

[WZ] Wang X J, Zhu X. *Kähler-Ricci solitons on toric manifolds with positive first Chern class*. Advances in Mathematics, 188(1), 2004, 87-103.

E-mail address: yeeyoe@163.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, CHINA